



WRMarketplace

An AALU Washington Report

This WR Marketplace is created exclusively for AALU members by experts at K&L Gates and the AALU staff, led by James E. Earle, Matthew R. Jones, Richard H. Nettles, and Rebecca Liu.

The AALU WR Newswire and WR Marketplace are published by AALU as part of the Essential Wisdom Series, the trusted source of actionable technical and marketplace knowledge for AALU members—the nation’s most advanced life insurance professionals.

Thursday, 29 November 2018

WRM#18-25

TOPIC: IRS Issues Proposed Regulations on Relaxed Rules for 401(k) Hardship Distributions

MARKET TREND: On February 9, 2018, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (the “2018 Budget Act” or the “Act”) was signed into law. While the Act primarily funds the federal government for the next year, the Act also includes provisions which significantly impact qualified retirement plans and their participants. Among other things, the Act modifies the hardship distribution rules for 401(k) plans, creating more flexibility for plan sponsors and plan participants alike. On November 14, 2018, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) released Proposed Regulations to implement these new hardship requirements (the “Proposed Regulations”).

SYNOPSIS: The 2018 Budget Act changes to the hardship distributions rules will make it easier for participants to take these distributions. The changes, as described in the Proposed Regulations, include (1) expanding the contributions and earnings that are eligible to be received in a hardship distribution (including post-1988 earnings on 401(k) deferrals), (2) expanding the permitted hardship events (to include certain federally declared disasters), (3) permitting companies to eliminate the requirement that the participant first exhaust all plan loans before taking a hardship distribution, (4) adding the plan participant’s designated beneficiary as a person for whom certain hardship expenses may be incurred; and (5) eliminating the requirement that contributions to the 401(k) plan (and other plans) be suspended for at least six months.

TAKEAWAYS: The 2018 Budget Act changes to the hardship distribution rules will likely require amendments to most 401(k) plans, with some changes becoming mandatory by 2020. Some of

the changes may be made as early as January 1, 2019, and may require decisions before year end. For example, plans that currently require a six-month suspension of contributions are permitted to end those currently active suspensions beginning January 1, 2019 (i.e., for hardship distributions taken after July 1, 2018). But such an administrative change will require plan sponsor action and communication to participants before January 1, 2019 to actually implement it by that date.

Background on 401(k) Hardship Distributions

Except for a few limited exceptions, early, in-service withdrawals from a 401(k) plan are generally prohibited.¹ However, if permitted by a plan, a participant may be able to take an otherwise-prohibited in-service withdrawal from his or her retirement account because of a “financial hardship,” if such distribution follows the guidelines issued by the IRS. A plan may make a financial hardship distribution only to alleviate a participant’s “immediate and heavy financial need”² and only if the distribution is limited to the amount necessary to satisfy that need.³

Prior to the 2018 Budget Act, IRS rules contained several restrictions on hardship distributions, including the following:

- To establish that the distribution is limited to the amount necessary to satisfy an immediate and heavy financial need, the 401(k) plan could follow certain “safe harbor” rules under which the participant must (i) exhaust all other available distributions under the plan, including plan loans, and (ii) suspend all contributions to the plan (and other company plans) for a period of at least six months.⁴
 - Alternatively, a plan could satisfy this requirement through a “non-safe harbor” process that usually includes a written certification by the participant and no suspension of contributions. The safe harbor approach, however, has been widely adopted based on its ease in administration.⁵
- Hardship distributions were not available from qualified non-elective contributions (QNECs), qualified matching contributions (QMACs), safe harbor plan contributions, or earnings on 401(k) deferrals credited after 1988.

¹ 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(1)

² 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(3)

³ 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(3)(iv)

⁴ 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(3)(iv)(E). For a 401(k) plan using safe harbor employer contributions to satisfy nondiscrimination testing rules, the suspension period cannot exceed six months. 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-3(c)(6)(v)(B).

⁵ 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(3)(iv)(C).

Collectively, these rules meant that hardship distributions were a last resort solution for most participants.

Relaxed Hardship Distribution Rules Under the 2018 Budget Act

Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2018, the 2018 Budget Act makes important changes to the restrictions on hardship distributions that may make it easier for participants to qualify for the distributions.

1. Hardship Distributions Prior to Taking a Loan

The Act eliminates the requirement that a participant take any available loan under the plan prior to taking a hardship distribution. The removal of this restriction benefits both participants and employers: plan participants no longer must burden themselves with additional debt before withdrawing much-needed funds, and employers no longer must take the additional step of verifying an outstanding employee loan, or confirming that the employee could have taken a loan, before approving a hardship distribution.

2. New Sources Eligible for Hardship Distributions

The Act also extends eligibility for hardship distribution to additional contribution sources to include:

- Qualified non-elective contributions (QNECs),
- Qualified matching contributions (QMACs),
- Safe harbor matching or non-elective employer contributions, and
- Earnings on all such contributions, including post-1988 earnings on 401(k) deferrals.

This expansion could enable participants to withdraw larger amounts to address a financial hardship. Moreover, because the prior rules effectively required plan sponsors to separately track the available and unavailable plan accounts, the new rules could enable plan sponsors to streamline their accounting by tracking accounts together instead of separately.

3. Elimination of Six-Month Contribution Suspension

The Act directs the Secretary of the Treasury to amend the 401(k) regulations to eliminate the requirement that employee contributions (pre-tax and after-tax) be prohibited for at least six-months following a hardship distribution. The Proposed Regulations (discussed below) propose rules to implement this change. Eliminating the contribution suspension may make a hardship distribution more attractive to a participant, and also may make administration of hardship distributions simpler for plan sponsors. For example, the current suspension rules require suspensions across all company plans in which the participant participates, including deferred compensation plans and employee stock purchase plans. The cross-plan

implementation of the suspension requirement can sometimes create administrative challenges that the proposed rules will eliminate.

IRS Proposed Regulations Implementing the New Hardship Rules

The Proposed Regulations clarify the 2018 Budget Act's requirements in several respects and also make other changes expanding the availability of hardship distributions.

1. *Elimination of Six-Month Suspension is Permissive for 2019 and Mandatory for 2020*

For plans that use the "safe harbor" approach to the financial hardship determination and therefore require the six-month suspension of contributions, those suspensions will be prohibited beginning 2020.

Plans that use the six-month suspension will be permitted to eliminate that requirement as early as January 1, 2019 even for a currently outstanding suspension. For example, if a participant took a hardship distribution on October 1, 2018 with a six-month contribution suspension running until March 31, 2019, the Proposed Regulation would permit (not require) the plan sponsor to end that contribution suspension as early as January 1, 2019.

2. *Participant Certifications Will Be Required In Lieu of Contribution Suspension*

In addition to the elimination of the six-month contribution suspension requirement, both plans and plan sponsors will have to make certain administrative certifications. Plans will still be required to establish that the hardship distribution is limited to the amount necessary to satisfy the immediate need, and now will be required to obtain a representation from the participant (in writing or through an electronic process) stating that the participant has insufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the financial need. These participant representations will be mandatory beginning 2020. The plan sponsor will still need to determine that the participant has obtained all other available distributions from the plan sponsor's plans (e.g., age 59-1/2 in-service withdrawals, rollover account withdrawals, etc.). Plan sponsors that choose to eliminate the six-month suspension requirement before 2020 as described above must ensure that their plans provide an alternative method to establish the participant's hardship. Although the Proposed Regulations are not entirely clear, the alternative approach likely would either need to follow the current "non-safe harbor" procedures — which will be available only through the end of 2019 — or by implementing the new procedures described above in this paragraph, including the new participant certification.

3. *Elimination of Plan Loan Requirement is Permissive*

The Proposed Regulations clarify that eliminating the requirement that a participant first take all available plan loans before any hardship distribution is a permitted, not required, change. The change may be made as early as January 1, 2019.

4. *Expansion of the Sources of Hardship Distributions is Permissive*

The Proposed Regulations similarly clarify that expanding the permitted sources of hardship distributions, such as to include safe harbor matching contributions or post-1988 earnings on 401(k) deferrals, is a permissive change. 401(k) plans do not have to offer hardship distributions at all, and plans remain free to limit the sources from which hardship distributions may be made.

For plan sponsors that want to expand the sources that are available for hardship distributions, the change can be made as early as January 1, 2019.

5. *The List of Hardship Events is Expanding*

The current IRS rules list six safe harbor categories of hardship-related expenses, including: “Expenses for the repair of damage to the employee’s principal residence that would qualify for the casualty deduction under Section 165 (determined without regard to whether the loss exceeds 10% of adjusted gross income).”⁶ The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act made a technical change to Section 165 of the Internal Revenue Code to generally limit the casualty deduction to federally declared disasters. To avoid that limitation on the hardship trigger, the Proposed Regulations clarify that the trigger applies without regard to that limitation.

In addition, the Proposed Regulations permit plans to add a new, seventh trigger to automatically qualify as a hardship event certain federally declared disasters--expressed as follows:

Expenses and losses (including loss of income) incurred by the employee on account of a disaster declared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Pub. L. 100-707, provided that the employee’s principal residence or principal place of employment at the time of the disaster was located in an area designated by FEMA for individual assistance with respect to the disaster.

Finally, the list of safe harbor expenses is expanded--beyond spouse, children, and dependents--to add primary (designated) beneficiaries under the plan as individuals for whom qualifying medical, educational, and funeral expenses may be incurred.

These changes are permitted to be effective as early as January 1, 2018 (when the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act changes to Section 165 became effective).⁷

The Proposed Regulations also permit certain hardship events to be triggered by events impacting a participant’s primary beneficiary under the plan.

⁶ 26 C.F.R. § 1.401(k)-1(d)(3)(iii)(B)

⁷ The Proposed Regulations also declare that employees adversely impacted by Hurricanes Florence and Michael will be provided expanded access to plan funds under Announcement 2017-15, which applies to victims of Hurricane Maria and certain California wildfires.

6. *Plan Amendments Will Be Required at a Later Date*

The Proposed Regulations explain that most of the changes are permitted to be implemented as early as January 1, 2019 (or January 1, 2018 as to the list of hardship events, as noted above), with the elimination of the six-month contribution suspension effective January 1, 2020 being the only mandatory change. Any changes made will eventually need to be evidenced by a plan amendment. The Proposed Regulations state that the amendment may be done by the second calendar year that begins after the year in which the IRS's Required Amendments List first includes these requirements. For example, if the 2019 Required Amendments List published by the IRS includes these requirements, the related plan amendments would have to be made by December 31, 2021.

What Companies Should Do Now

Plan sponsors should begin considering whether they want to make the changes that are permitted, but not required, by the new rules. For example, if a plan sponsor wants to end any outstanding contribution suspensions on January 1, 2019, the plan sponsor will need to make that decision quickly and work closely with their third party administrator to implement the change and communicate it to participants.

Plan sponsors often have different design philosophies when it comes to in-service withdrawals. More paternalistic plan sponsors sometimes focus on minimizing "leakage" from retirement savings that can come from plan loans or in-service withdrawals, including hardship distributions. These plan sponsors may want to make minimal changes. But the design opportunities presented by the 2018 Budget Act and the Proposed Regulations should be reviewed and considered.

For those plan sponsors that currently use the six-month contribution suspension, work should begin sooner than later on the changes needed to eliminate the suspension, and to implement the required employee representations contemplated by the Proposed Regulations, by no later than 2020. Presumably, third party administrators will be approaching their customers about how to implement these required changes.

Finally, plan sponsors should consult with their legal advisors to ensure that any required plan amendments are timely adopted.